



Lessons From Abroad: What the U.S. Can Learn From Other Countries About Accessible Long-Term Care

Webinar | August 4, 2021



NATIONAL INSTITUTE ON
Retirement Security

Reliable Research. Sensible Solutions.


Agenda

- Logistics and Introductions
- Research Review
- Q&A



Logistics

- Attendees in listen only mode.
- Question are welcome. Submit using “Question” function on control panel
- Audio/technical issues during webinar: call GoToWebinar at 1-800-263-6316
- Webinar replay and slides will be posted at nirsonline.org/events.



Speakers



Tyler Bond

NIRS Research Manager



Ben Veghte, Ph.D.

Washington State Department of Social and Health Services



Designing Universal LTSS Programs

Lessons from Germany and Other Countries

Benjamin W. Veghte, MPA, Ph.D.

Benjamin.Veghte@dshs.wa.gov

Outline

- Why should we care about this topic?
- Overview of LTSS approaches abroad
- Divergent approaches to shared challenges
- Case study: Lessons from Germany
- Q&A

Why Should We Care about Experience of LTSS Programs Abroad?

- U.S. policymakers weighing LTSS innovation in response to:
 - Aging population
 - Decline of stay-at-home caregiver
 - Insufficiency of residual approach
- Most OECD countries face similar set of challenges
 - Decades of experience from which to draw insights
 - Discrete learnings, cognizant of context

Overview of LTSS Programs Abroad

- Four types of approaches to LTSS financing and coverage:
 - Social insurance
 - Universal comprehensive
 - Residual
 - Hybrid

Social Insurance Approach

- Netherlands (1968), Germany (1995), Japan (2000), South Korea (2008), WA State (2022)
- Funded in whole or part by dedicated contributions by workers and/or their employers, as well as pensioners
- Near-universal coverage
- Modest benefits – families expected to pick up the rest (subsidiarity)
 - Exception: Netherlands (1968) has most generous system in the world (3.7% of GDP in 2017)
 - Social assistance kicks in where families can't afford remaining cost

Universal Comprehensive Coverage

- Denmark, Sweden (late 1940s)
- Funded by general revenues
- Universal coverage
- Generous benefits, no or minimal financial participation by beneficiaries

Residual (Means-Tested) Programs

- U.S. (1965): Medicaid LTSS; England (1948, reformed 2015)
- Funded from general revenues
- Covers only those with low income and assets
- Predicated on assumption that broad middle class can purchase LTSS through the private market (private insurance or out of pocket at time care needed)

Hybrid Approaches

- France (2002)
- Funded by general revenues with small social insurance component
- Universal coverage
- No means test, but benefits decrease as income increases

Learning, not Importing

- National approaches to LTSS cluster around the first three types
 - Political culture and political economy drive how countries cluster in these types
 - Gøsta Esping-Andersen (1990): worlds of welfare capitalism
 - Ebbinghaus and Manow (2001): institutional complementarities

Divergent approaches to shared challenges:

- Coverage
- Transition cohorts
- Start and duration of coverage
- Financing
- Integration
- Benefit type and setting

Case Study: Lessons from Germany

Learnings from successes in the German system:

- Fixing a market failure is uncontroversial and can win broad public support (and support for premiums)
- Robust benefits are possible with modest fiscal footprint
- Contributory programs can achieve near-universal coverage
- Covering seniors out of the gate wins political support, eases LTC burden on social assistance budgets
- Requiring premiums from seniors permanently broadens the tax base

Case Study: Lessons from Germany

Learnings from successes in the German system (2/2):

- Flexible public programs can increase families' choices and options
 - Cash, in-kind, or combination
- Designing the insurance benefit structure in isolation is insufficient to serve the program goal of benefit adequacy
 - Holistic policy design needs to focus on limiting not only the cost of the public program but also out-of-pocket costs for beneficiaries
- Benefits can be targeted to match care need

Case Study: Lessons from Germany

Learnings from challenges in the German system:


- Meeting beneficiary LTC needs, addressing care workforce shortage, ensuring quality jobs and quality care, and controlling costs (and hence contribution rate) are often competing challenges
- LTC workforce shortage is a complex challenge in Germany and abroad
- Relying on family members to quit their jobs is not feasible for most families and has major disadvantages
 - Robust care leave policy is needed, and traditional paid family leave may not be enough – dedicated LTC leave policy may be needed

Case Study: Lessons from Germany

Learnings from challenges in the German system (2/2):

- PAYGO financing approach makes changes to premiums/benefits necessary to deal with age wave (hence creation of LTC Provision Fund in 2015)
- A statutory mechanism is needed to ensure that benefits roughly keep pace with rising cost of care
- Cash benefits bring risk of reinforcing inequalities

Additional Resources



https://www.nasi.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/NASI_LTSSProgramsAbroad.pdf

<https://www.nirsonline.org/reports/medicaid/>

<https://www.nirsonline.org/reports/growingburden/>

Questions & Answers

